

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/526,814	03/16/2000	Michael B. Ball	2987.2US(96-790.1	1584	
7:	590 08/20/2002				
Joseph A Walkowski Trask Britt & Rossa			EXAMINER		
			HA, NATHAN W		
PO BOX 2550 Salt lake City, I	TT 84110				
San lake City,	31 04110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814	2814	
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		09/526,814	BALL, MICHAEL B.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Nathan W. Ha	2814
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a REALIZATION TO SECURE A REALIZATION.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	luly 2002 .	
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.	
3) Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allowations of closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖾	Claim(s) $1-4$ and $15-25$ is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 15-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-	
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a)[_	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2	P.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burd se the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) (15)∏ Ad	☐ The translation of the foreign language proven Reprice translation of the translation that the translation of the translati	risional application has been rece	eived.
Attachment(s		_	
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 13

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 15-17, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kunitomo et al. (US 5,436,503, previously cited, hereinafter Kunitomo.)

In regard to claims 1, 15, and 17, in figs. 3-4, Kunitomo discloses a semiconductor die comprising:

a sheet-like, nonconductive structure 11 having a first surface, and a second surface for attachment to the semiconductor die; and

a plurality of electrical conductive discrete pads 12 attached to the first surface, the plurality of electrically conductive discrete pads each having an electrical connection portion and a portion facing the first surface, see fig. 3, each electrically isolated about the portion facing the first surface.

an adapter 17, at both ends of the structure in fig. 9, having a first plurality of discrete electrical contacts on a first surface thereof.

In regard to claims 2-3, see fig. 3.

In regard to claim 4, see col. 6, lines 60-68.

Art Unit: 2814

In regard to claims 16, 19-25, see fig. 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunitomo et al. as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Orcutt (US 4,712,129, previously cited.)

In regard to claim 18, Matsuda et al. does not expressly discuss materials having matching TCE between the die and the layer that the die attaches to. It is noted that the thermal matching it very well known in the art of semiconductor. This matching helps to prevent the cracking might happen under high temperature, see the abstract. For example, Orcutt discloses that the texture and the die have similar TCE in order to prevent the cracking between the die and the substrate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the matching TCE of Orcutt's in Kunitomo et al. in order to prevent the cracking between the die and the substrate.

Art Unit: 2814

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants submit that contact pads, in '503, are not "electrically isolated about the portion facing the first surface". In regard to fig. 9 of '503, the pads are surrounded and isolated by resin 14. This illustration meets the language as claimed in claim 1. It is noted that the limitation "electrically isolated about the portion facing the first surface" does not express the necessary of isolation layer to be in between the substrate and the conductive pads. Furthermore, the substrate 11, however, is a nonconductive substrate, for example, insulating substrate.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2814

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan W. Ha whose telephone number is (703) 305-3507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-7:00(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and 308-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Nathan Ha August 14, 2002

> Cilk Cherchuri Supervisory Petant Accurings Topications Charles 2200